

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
BRUNSWICK DIVISION

KYLE SLOAN, and SUSAN WEST,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NICHOLAS BURIST, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:22-cv-76

**ORDER**

Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Discovery seeking limited jurisdictional discovery.

Doc. 218. Plaintiffs contend limited jurisdictional discovery and possibly an evidentiary hearing are warranted so Plaintiffs can explore the subject matter jurisdiction argument Defendant Unigroup, C.A. raises in its motion to dismiss. *Id.* at 4–5. The Court **GRANTS in part** and **DENIES in part** Plaintiffs' Motion.<sup>1</sup> The Court will allow the parties 45 days to conduct the limited jurisdictional discovery needed to address the jurisdictional argument Defendant Unigroup raises in its motion to dismiss but denies the request for an evidentiary hearing. Defendant Unigroup does not waive its subject matter jurisdiction defense by participating in this limited discovery, and Plaintiffs are limited to seeking limited discovery against Defendant

---

<sup>1</sup> Counsel, in the title of this Motion, cites Local Rule 3.01(g), but this is not a Local Rule of this Court. Doc. 218. Counsel also relies expressly on authority from the Middle District of Florida, suggesting counsel has utilized a previous motion filed in that court without sufficiently adapting it to fit this case. Counsel should exercise more diligence in the future.

Unigroup. Plaintiffs and the other remaining Defendants are to proceed as the Court has previously directed during this time. Docs. 144, 180, 202.

**SO ORDERED**, this 17th day of September, 2024.



---

BENJAMIN W. CHEESBRO  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA